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#### Abstract

CCD BVR $I_{c}$ light curves of V1695 Aquilae were taken during the Fall 2016 season at the Cerro Tololo InterAmerican Observatory with the 0.6 -meter reflector of the SARA South observatory in remote mode. It is an eclipsing binary with a period of 0.41283 d . The light curves yield a total eclipse (duration: 59 minutes) but have an amplitude of only $\sim 0.4$ mag. The spectral type is $\sim \mathrm{G} 8 \mathrm{~V}(\sim 5500 \mathrm{~K})$. Four times of minimum light were calculated, all primary eclipses, from our present observations. We calculated linear and quadratic ephemerides from all available times of minimum light. A 17-year period study reveals a quadratic orbital period decrease at a high level of confidence. The orbital period is changing at a rapid rate of of $\mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{dt}=-1.73 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{yr}$. The solution is that of an Extreme Mass Ratio Binary. The mass ratio is found to be near 0.16. Its Roche Lobe fill-out is a hefty $83 \%$. The small component has the slightly hotter temperature of $\sim 5650 \mathrm{~K}$, which makes it a W-type W UMa Binary. As expected in binaries of this spectral type, it has cool spot regions.


## 1. Introduction

In this study of V1695 Aql, our analysis includes its observation, a period study, and light curve analysis of an extreme mass ratio solar type Southern eclipsing binary. We used the Wilson-Devinney Program (wD; Wilson and Devinney 1971) for this calculation. This paper represents the first published BVRcIc light curves and analysis of V1695 Aql. Observers prize total eclipsing contact binaries since they give unambiguous solutions with mass ratios even without difficult-to-obtain precision radial velocity curves. These require large telescopes (we estimate a 3.5 to 4-meter telescope is needed for this variable). Many forget about velocity smearing with such a system which requires a higher signal-to-noise.

Contact binaries are numerous in number and represent a challenge to present-day stellar theory. It is believed that (for those of solar type), that they begin their existence as well detached fast spinning stars in groups that undergo gravitational interactions which leave them as binaries with several-day periods. Since they are highly magnetic in nature, due to their convective envelopes and fast rotation, they undergo magnetic braking as plasma winds leave the stars on stiff rotating dipole fields. This action torques the binary, eventually bringing them into contact and finally leaving a single, fast rotating star.

## 2. History and observations

V1695 Aql (GSC 5149 2845) was discovered as part of an initiative to classify variable stars using CCD observations by Bernhard et al. (2002). The star was typed as a W UMa binary with a V magnitude $\approx 11.0$. Their light curve is shown as Figure 1.

Their ephemeris is:

$$
\operatorname{MinI}=\mathrm{HJD} 2452522.440 \pm 0.007+0.4128 \pm 0.0001 \mathrm{~d} \times \mathrm{E}
$$



Figure 1. Light curve of V1695 Aql by Bernhard et al. 2002.

Kreiner (2004) gives the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MinI}=\operatorname{HJD} 2456102.460+0.4127768 \mathrm{~d} \times \mathrm{E} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A number of eclipse timings are given by Pejcha (2005), Berhard et al. (2002), and Paschke (1994, 2002).

V1695 Aql is likely an x-ray source (1RXSJ193821.2033245), which is not unusual for active W UMa variables (Szczygiel et al. 2008). It is included in the Automated Variable Star classification (ID 14143847) via the NSVS (Hoffman et al. 2009) and is listed in the 78th name list (Kazarovets et al. 2006). The observations were undertaken by Samec, Gray, Faulkner, Hill, and Van Hamme. Reduction and analyses were done by Samec and Gray.

## 3. Photometry

Our photometry was taken with the Southeastern Association for Research in Astronomy (SARA South) Telescope at Cerro Tololo InterAmerican Observatory (CTIO) in remote mode. The 24 -inch $\mathrm{f} / 11$ Boller and Chivens reflector was used on four nights, 14 August and 3-5 September, 2016, with the ARC Camera cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We used standard BVR $I_{c}$ Johnson-Cousins filters. The precision of a single observation was good, 0.010 in $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and 0.014 in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$. The observations included 185 in B, 187 in V, 162 in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and 187 in $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$. Exposure times varied from 250-275 seconds in B, 80-90 seconds in V, and $30-50$ seconds in $R_{c}$ and $I_{c}$. Nightly images were calibrated with 25 bias frames, at least five flat frames in each filter, and ten 300 -second dark frames. Figure 2 a and 2 b show sample observations of $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{V}$, and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ color curves on the night of August 14 and September 23, 2016. Our observations are given in Table 1 , in delta magnitudes, $\Delta \mathrm{B}, \Delta \mathrm{V}, \Delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$, in the sense of variable minus comparison star.

## 4. Finding chart

The finding chart is shown as Figure 3. The coordinates and magnitudes of the variable star, comparison star, and check star are given in Table 2. Our B-V and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$ Comparison-Variable magnitude curves show that the variable and comparison stars are near spectral matches with $\Delta(\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V})$ and $\Delta(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{I}) \approx 0$. The nightly $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{K}$ values stayed constant throughout the observing run with a precision of $\approx 1 \%$.

## 5. Period study

Four times of minimum light were calculated from our present observations, all primary eclipses, using the method of Kwee and Van Woerden (1956) performed by Caton:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{HJD}= & 2457614.68359 \pm 0.0002 \mathrm{~d} \\
& 2457634.49320 \pm 0.00037 \mathrm{~d} \\
& 2457636.56250 \pm 0.00006 \mathrm{~d} \\
& 2457635.68247 \pm 0.00002 \mathrm{~d}
\end{aligned}
$$

Additional timings were gathered from other sources using the O-C gateway (http://var2.astro.cz/ocgate/) and the Nelson

Database of Times of Minima (Nelson 2016). These included Berhard et al. (2002), and Pejcha (2005). We note that our last timing was removed from our analysis due to its large residual. The following linear and quadratic ephemerides were determined from all available times of minimum light:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { JD Hel MinI }= 2452576.3106 \pm 0.0060 \mathrm{~d} \\
&+0.41282964 \pm 0.00000080 \times \mathrm{E}  \tag{2}\\
& \text { JD Hel MinI }= 2452576.3191 \pm 0.0024 \mathrm{~d} \\
&+0.4128401 \pm 0.0000011 \times \mathrm{E}-9.75 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-10} \times \mathrm{E}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ residuals for both linear and quadratic calculations are given in Table 3. Thus, the 17-year period study reveals that the system is undergoing a smooth quadratic decrease in orbital period. The changing period would be expected for the process of magnetic braking (e.g., Gazeas and Stępień 2008). The value of the rate of change in the orbital period is $\mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{dt}=-1.73 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{yr}$. Third body interactions and normal stellar evolution may play a role, but a much longer interval of observation is needed to determine if this is the case. A plot of the quadratic term overlying the linear residuals of Equation 3 is shown in Figure 4.

## 6. Light curve characteristics

The light curves of V1695 Aql phased using Equation 2, delta mag vs. phase, are shown in Figure 5a and 5b. Light curve amplitudes and the differences in magnitudes at various quadratures are given in Table 4. The primary amplitudes of the light curves are about 0.4 magnitude in all filters while the secondary's are $\sim 0.3$ magnitude. This points to a rather large difference in minima, $0.07-0.08$ magnitude, for an over contact binary. These values are usually thought of as indicators of the degree of thermal contact. In this case, it may be an indicator of large spot regions. In general, the asymmetries throughout the light curve point to the presence of spot activity. This is apparent when we compare the early curve (Figure 1) to our present ones. In Figure 6, a plot of the night to night variability in the light curves in B and V is given. This shows that the magnetic activity causes rapid changes in the light curves. The light curves are distinctly over contact. The low amplitudes indicate that the binary has a very small mass ratio so the binary belongs to the family of extreme-mass ratio binaries. To extend this analysis we undertook a Wilson-Devinney program light curve solution. The light curves yielded a very long eclipse duration of 59 minutes for a binary, with a period of 9.9 hours as determined from this solution.

## 7. Temperature and light curve solution

binary maker 3.0 (Bradstreet and Steelman 2002) was used to explore the character of our light curves and determine initial parameters of each of the B, V, Rc, Ic light curves. The Wilson-Deviney program requires a fairly good fitting curve to begin the process, however the final solution parameters may have little resemblance to the initial values. For instance, our B-filter light curve gave a mass-ratio of 0.15 using BINARY


Figure 2a. B, V, and B-V color curves of V1695 Aql on the night of August 14, 2016.


Figure 2b. B, V, and B-V color curves of V1695 Aql on the night of September 23, 2016.


Figure 3. Finding Chart of V1695 Aq1 including Variable (V), Comparison (C), and Check Stars (K).


Figure 4. O-C residuals from the quadratic ephemeris of V1695 Aql from Equation 3.


Figure 5a. B, V delta magnitudes of V1695 Aql, phased using Equation 2.


Figure 5 b. $R_{c}, I_{c}$ delta magnitudes of V1695 Aql, phased using Equation 2.

Table 1. V1695 Aql observations, $\Delta \mathrm{B}, \Delta \mathrm{V}, \Delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$, variable star minus comparison star.

| $\Delta B$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta B$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta B$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta B$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta B$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1.042 | 14.4856 | -1.093 | 14.6709 | -1.258 | 34.538 | -1.415 | 35.604 | -1.138 | 36.549 |
| -1.044 | 14.4903 | -1.089 | 14.676 | -1.297 | 34.543 | -1.418 | 35.609 | -1.137 | 36.554 |
| -1.054 | 14.4954 | -1.090 | 14.682 | -1.347 | 34.548 | -1.432 | 35.615 | -1.121 | 36.560 |
| -1.079 | 14.5006 | -1.096 | 14.687 | -1.365 | 34.553 | -1.435 | 35.620 | -1.123 | 36.565 |
| -1.108 | 14.5058 | -1.091 | 14.693 | -1.381 | 34.559 | -1.445 | 35.625 | -1.123 | 36.571 |
| -1.144 | 14.5111 | -1.100 | 14.698 | -1.404 | 34.564 | -1.446 | 35.630 | -1.145 | 36.576 |
| -1.188 | 14.5163 | -1.097 | 14.704 | -1.405 | 34.569 | -1.433 | 35.635 | $-1.145$ | 36.582 |
| -1.218 | 14.5216 | -1.112 | 14.709 | -1.424 | 34.574 | -1.433 | 35.640 | -1.151 | 36.587 |
| -1.250 | 14.5268 | -1.177 | 14.718 | -1.441 | 34.579 | -1.424 | 35.646 | $-1.187$ | 36.593 |
| -1.312 | 14.5373 | -1.214 | 14.724 | -1.438 | 34.585 | -1.427 | 35.651 | $-1.226$ | 36.598 |
| -1.337 | 14.5425 | -1.241 | 14.729 | -1.256 | 35.483 | -1.410 | 35.656 | -1.269 | 36.604 |
| -1.369 | 14.5500 | -1.270 | 14.735 | -1.228 | 35.488 | -1.391 | 35.661 | -1.294 | 36.609 |
| -1.376 | 14.5554 | -1.302 | 14.740 | -1.184 | 35.494 | -1.388 | 35.667 | -1.322 | 36.614 |
| -1.375 | 14.5609 | -1.319 | 14.746 | -1.158 | 35.499 | -1.377 | 35.672 | -1.339 | 36.620 |
| -1.401 | 14.5663 | -1.338 | 14.751 | -1.107 | 35.505 | -1.345 | 35.678 | $-1.367$ | 36.625 |
| -1.398 | 14.5718 | -1.357 | 14.757 | -1.080 | 35.510 | -1.310 | 35.684 | $-1.382$ | 36.631 |
| -1.407 | 14.5773 | -1.374 | 14.763 | -1.074 | 35.515 | -1.292 | 35.690 | -1.406 | 36.636 |
| -1.404 | 14.5828 | -1.392 | 14.768 | -1.069 | 35.520 | -1.397 | 36.461 | -1.413 | 36.642 |
| -1.400 | 14.5882 | -1.404 | 14.774 | -1.068 | 35.526 | -1.399 | 36.466 | -1.416 | 36.647 |
| -1.396 | 14.5937 | -1.168 | 34.465 | -1.060 | 35.531 | -1.404 | 36.473 | -1.425 | 36.653 |
| -1.382 | 14.5992 | -1.137 | 34.469 | -1.067 | 35.537 | -1.418 | 36.478 | -1.427 | 36.658 |
| -1.372 | 14.6052 | $-1.123$ | 34.475 | -1.079 | 35.542 | -1.397 | 36.483 | -1.435 | 36.664 |
| -1.358 | 14.6107 | -1.137 | 34.480 | -1.085 | 35.547 | -1.379 | 36.489 | -1.443 | 36.669 |
| -1.338 | 14.6162 | -1.137 | 34.486 | -1.098 | 35.552 | -1.365 | 36.494 | -1.432 | 36.675 |
| -1.314 | 14.6216 | -1.138 | 34.491 | -1.132 | 35.557 | -1.351 | 36.500 | -1.432 | 36.680 |
| -1.296 | 14.6271 | -1.127 | 34.496 | -1.170 | 35.563 | -1.338 | 36.505 | -1.433 | 36.686 |
| -1.278 | 14.6326 | -1.140 | 34.501 | -1.207 | 35.568 | -1.308 | 36.510 | -1.397 | 36.694 |
| -1.248 | 14.6381 | $-1.145$ | 34.507 | -1.262 | 35.573 | -1.281 | 36.516 | -1.384 | 36.700 |
| -1.207 | 14.6435 | $-1.136$ | 34.512 | -1.276 | 35.578 | -1.259 | 36.521 | -1.357 | 36.705 |
| -1.177 | 14.6490 | -1.154 | 34.517 | -1.315 | 35.583 | -1.221 | 36.527 | -1.335 | 36.711 |
| -1.129 | 14.6545 | -1.188 | 34.522 | -1.350 | 35.588 | -1.187 | 36.532 |  |  |
| -1.103 | 14.6600 | -1.211 | 34.527 | -1.363 | 35.594 | -1.161 | 36.538 |  |  |
| -1.096 | 14.6654 | -1.228 | 34.533 | -1.385 | 35.599 | -1.150 | 36.543 |  |  |
| $\Delta V$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HJD } \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta V$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HJD } \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta V$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HJD } \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta V$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HJD } \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta V$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HJD } \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ |
| -1.088 | 14.493 | -1.284 | 14.634 | -1.418 | 14.781 | -1.423 | 34.590 | -1.337 | 35.677 |
| -1.084 | 14.497 | -1.249 | 14.640 | -1.407 | 14.779 | -1.443 | 34.595 | -1.319 | 36.511 |
| -1.110 | 14.502 | -1.226 | 14.645 | -1.399 | 14.785 | -1.057 | 35.546 | $-1.280$ | 36.516 |
| -1.139 | 14.507 | -1.180 | 14.651 | -1.130 | 34.470 | -1.074 | 35.551 | -1.262 | 36.522 |
| -1.180 | 14.513 | -1.141 | 14.656 | -1.121 | 34.476 | -1.103 | 35.557 | -1.226 | 36.527 |
| -1.207 | 14.518 | -1.120 | 14.662 | -1.127 | 34.481 | -1.145 | 35.562 | -1.175 | 36.533 |
| -1.243 | 14.523 | -1.109 | 14.667 | -1.131 | 34.487 | -1.231 | 35.572 | -1.145 | 36.538 |
| -1.267 | 14.528 | -1.115 | 14.673 | -1.136 | 34.492 | -1.266 | 35.577 | -1.146 | 36.544 |
| -1.304 | 14.534 | -1.106 | 14.678 | -1.132 | 34.497 | -1.300 | 35.582 | $-1.133$ | 36.549 |
| -1.325 | 14.539 | -1.112 | 14.683 | -1.137 | 34.502 | -1.322 | 35.588 | $-1.131$ | 36.555 |
| -1.341 | 14.546 | -1.114 | 14.689 | -1.145 | 34.507 | -1.347 | 35.593 | $-1.138$ | 36.560 |
| -1.368 | 14.552 | -1.110 | 14.694 | -1.136 | 34.512 | -1.362 | 35.598 | -1.129 | 36.566 |
| -1.369 | 14.557 | -1.124 | 14.700 | -1.147 | 34.517 | -1.384 | 35.603 | -1.124 | 36.571 |
| -1.394 | 14.562 | -1.118 | 14.705 | -1.159 | 34.523 | -1.394 | 35.608 | -1.140 | 36.577 |
| -1.404 | 14.568 | -1.161 | 14.714 | -1.182 | 34.528 | -1.413 | 35.614 | $-1.133$ | 36.582 |
| -1.410 | 14.573 | -1.187 | 14.720 | -1.224 | 34.533 | -1.420 | 35.619 | -1.145 | 36.588 |
| -1.408 | 14.579 | -1.232 | 14.725 | -1.250 | 34.538 | -1.426 | 35.624 | -1.187 | 36.593 |
| -1.415 | 14.584 | -1.269 | 14.731 | -1.295 | 34.543 | -1.429 | 35.629 | -1.229 | 36.598 |
| -1.406 | 14.590 | -1.287 | 14.736 | -1.332 | 34.549 | -1.428 | 35.634 | -1.262 | 36.604 |
| -1.394 | 14.595 | -1.315 | 14.742 | -1.353 | 34.554 | -1.418 | 35.640 | -1.289 | 36.609 |
| -1.394 | 14.601 | -1.341 | 14.747 | -1.375 | 34.559 | -1.414 | 35.645 | -1.321 | 36.615 |
| -1.367 | 14.607 | -1.352 | 14.753 | -1.378 | 34.564 | -1.408 | 35.650 | $-1.342$ | 36.620 |
| -1.337 | 14.612 | $-1.366$ | 14.759 | -1.393 | 34.569 | -1.399 | 35.655 | -1.358 | 36.626 |
| -1.327 | 14.618 | -1.382 | 14.764 | -1.408 | 34.575 | -1.384 | 35.661 | -1.383 | 36.631 |
| -1.320 | 14.623 | -1.396 | 14.770 | -1.408 | 34.580 | -1.386 | 35.666 | -1.392 | 36.637 |
| -1.301 | 14.629 | -1.402 | 14.776 | -1.415 | 34.585 | -1.371 | 35.671 | $-1.396$ | 36.642 |

Table 1. V1695 Aql observations, $\Delta \mathrm{B}, \Delta \mathrm{V}, \Delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{e}}$, variable star minus comparison star, cont.

| $\Delta R_{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta R_{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta R_{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta R_{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta R_{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1.042 | 14.486 | -1.093 | 14.671 | -1.258 | 34.538 | -1.415 | 35.604 | -1.138 | 36.549 |
| -1.044 | 14.490 | -1.089 | 14.676 | -1.297 | 34.543 | -1.418 | 35.609 | -1.137 | 36.554 |
| -1.054 | 14.495 | -1.090 | 14.682 | -1.347 | 34.548 | -1.432 | 35.615 | -1.121 | 36.560 |
| -1.079 | 14.501 | -1.096 | 14.687 | -1.365 | 34.553 | -1.435 | 35.620 | -1.123 | 36.565 |
| -1.108 | 14.506 | -1.091 | 14.693 | -1.381 | 34.559 | -1.445 | 35.625 | -1.123 | 36.571 |
| -1.144 | 14.511 | -1.100 | 14.698 | -1.404 | 34.564 | -1.446 | 35.630 | -1.145 | 36.576 |
| -1.188 | 14.516 | -1.097 | 14.704 | -1.405 | 34.569 | -1.433 | 35.635 | -1.145 | 36.582 |
| -1.218 | 14.522 | -1.112 | 14.709 | -1.424 | 34.574 | -1.433 | 35.640 | -1.151 | 36.587 |
| -1.250 | 14.527 | -1.177 | 14.718 | -1.441 | 34.579 | -1.424 | 35.646 | -1.187 | 36.593 |
| -1.312 | 14.537 | -1.214 | 14.724 | -1.438 | 34.585 | -1.427 | 35.651 | -1.226 | 36.598 |
| -1.337 | 14.543 | -1.241 | 14.729 | -1.256 | 35.483 | -1.410 | 35.656 | -1.269 | 36.604 |
| -1.369 | 14.550 | -1.270 | 14.735 | -1.228 | 35.488 | -1.391 | 35.661 | -1.294 | 36.609 |
| -1.376 | 14.555 | -1.302 | 14.740 | -1.184 | 35.494 | -1.388 | 35.667 | -1.322 | 36.614 |
| -1.375 | 14.561 | -1.319 | 14.746 | -1.158 | 35.499 | -1.377 | 35.672 | -1.339 | 36.620 |
| -1.401 | 14.566 | -1.338 | 14.751 | -1.107 | 35.505 | -1.345 | 35.678 | -1.367 | 36.625 |
| -1.398 | 14.572 | -1.357 | 14.757 | -1.080 | 35.510 | -1.310 | 35.684 | -1.382 | 36.631 |
| -1.407 | 14.577 | -1.374 | 14.763 | -1.074 | 35.515 | -1.292 | 35.690 | -1.406 | 36.636 |
| -1.404 | 14.583 | -1.392 | 14.768 | -1.069 | 35.520 | -1.397 | 36.461 | -1.413 | 36.642 |
| -1.400 | 14.588 | -1.404 | 14.774 | -1.068 | 35.526 | -1.399 | 36.466 | -1.416 | 36.647 |
| -1.396 | 14.594 | -1.168 | 34.465 | -1.060 | 35.531 | -1.404 | 36.473 | -1.425 | 36.653 |
| -1.382 | 14.599 | -1.137 | 34.469 | -1.067 | 35.537 | -1.418 | 36.478 | -1.427 | 36.658 |
| -1.372 | 14.605 | -1.123 | 34.475 | -1.079 | 35.542 | -1.397 | 36.483 | -1.435 | 36.664 |
| -1.358 | 14.611 | -1.137 | 34.480 | -1.085 | 35.547 | -1.379 | 36.489 | -1.443 | 36.669 |
| -1.338 | 14.616 | -1.137 | 34.486 | -1.098 | 35.552 | -1.365 | 36.494 | -1.432 | 36.675 |
| -1.314 | 14.622 | -1.138 | 34.491 | -1.132 | 35.557 | -1.351 | 36.500 | -1.432 | 36.680 |
| -1.296 | 14.627 | -1.127 | 34.496 | -1.170 | 35.563 | -1.338 | 36.505 | -1.433 | 36.686 |
| -1.278 | 14.633 | -1.140 | 34.501 | -1.207 | 35.568 | -1.308 | 36.510 | -1.397 | 36.694 |
| -1.248 | 14.638 | -1.145 | 34.507 | -1.262 | 35.573 | -1.281 | 36.516 | -1.384 | 36.700 |
| -1.207 | 14.644 | -1.136 | 34.512 | -1.276 | 35.578 | -1.259 | 36.521 | -1.357 | 36.705 |
| -1.177 | 14.649 | -1.154 | 34.517 | -1.315 | 35.583 | -1.221 | 36.527 | -1.335 | 36.711 |
| -1.129 | 14.655 | -1.188 | 34.522 | -1.350 | 35.588 | -1.187 | 36.532 |  |  |
| -1.103 | 14.660 | -1.211 | 34.527 | -1.363 | 35.594 | -1.161 | 36.538 |  |  |
| -1.096 | 14.665 | -1.228 | 34.533 | -1.385 | 35.599 | -1.150 | 36.543 |  |  |

Table continued on next page

MAKER and fill-out of 0.25 . We modeled two cool spots and one hot spot to fit the asymmetries. The hot spot vanished as the Wilson program progressed. Tycho and 2MASS photometry indicated that the spectral type fell in the G6 to G9 range so a temperature of 5500 K was chosen for the primary component with the secondary component modeling at a somewhat higher temperature. Next, the mean values from the BINARY MAKER fits a set of starting values for the wD program (Wilson and Devinney 1971; Wilson 1990, 1994, 2001, 2004; Van Hamme and Wilson 1998, 2003). This version includes Kurucz atmospheres, rather than black body, and a detailed reflection treatment along with two-dimentional limb-darkening coefficients. The differential corrections routine was iterated until convergence was achieved for a solution. The solution was computed in Mode 3, the contact binary mode. Convective parameters $g=0.32, \mathrm{~A}=0.5$ were used. The light curve solution is given in Table 5.

The normalized curves overlain by our light curve solutions are shown as Figure 7a and 7b. A geometrical (Roche-lobe) representation of the system is given in Figure 8 (a, b, c, d) at light curve quadratures so that the reader may see the placement of the spots and the relative size of the stars as compared to the orbit. Table 6 gives the unspotted solution for V1695 Aql. One can compare the wD program's sum of square residual, 0.19 vs. 0.15 , for the unspotted vs. the spotted model. The spotted
solution presents a better numerical solution. It is noted that the unspotted solution has a somewhat smaller fill-out, $35 \%$.

## 8. Conclusion

V1695 Aql is a moderate period $(\mathrm{P}=0.4128296$ day $)$, W UMa eclipsing binary. The 17-year orbital study (more than 15,000 orbits) reveals a quadratically decreasing ephemeris. Given that the temperature for the primary component is $\sim 5500 \mathrm{~K}$, from $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ we find the secondary (smaller) star is at a hotter $\sim 5650 \mathrm{~K}$. This effect is believed to be due to the actual saturated spot coverage on the primary component. The wd program solution gives a mass ratio of 0.16. Rasio (1995) stated the runaway event that results in a merger happens when the mass ratio is $\sim 0.09$, so we are 0.07 away from that event if this is the case. The Roche Lobe fill-out is rather large, $83 \%$ for this contact binary. This value could lead the system into an instability which could result in coalescence.

Recently, Molnar et al. (2017) predicted that the eclipsing binary KIC 9832227 would become a red nova in the year 2022. Table 7 shows a comparison of the parameters for KIC 9832227 with V1695 Aql to show the similarity of the two systems. Molnar (2017) has examined our period study curves and does not see the expected asymmetry (right side of the curve should

Table 1. V1695 Aql observations, $\Delta \mathrm{B}, \Delta \mathrm{V}, \Delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$, variable star minus comparison star, cont.

| $\Delta I_{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta I_{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta I_{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta I_{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta I_{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} H J D \\ 2457600+ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1.088 | 14.493 | -1.124 | 14.700 | -1.408 | 34.580 | -1.103 | 35.557 | -1.262 | 36.522 |
| -1.084 | 14.497 | -1.118 | 14.705 | -1.415 | 34.585 | -1.145 | 35.562 | -1.226 | 36.527 |
| -1.110 | 14.502 | -1.161 | 14.714 | -1.423 | 34.590 | -1.180 | 35.567 | -1.175 | 36.533 |
| -1.139 | 14.507 | -1.187 | 14.720 | -1.443 | 34.595 | -1.231 | 35.572 | -1.145 | 36.538 |
| -1.180 | 14.513 | -1.232 | 14.725 | -1.451 | 34.599 | -1.266 | 35.577 | -1.146 | 36.544 |
| -1.207 | 14.518 | -1.269 | 14.731 | -1.448 | 34.604 | -1.300 | 35.582 | -1.133 | 36.549 |
| -1.243 | 14.523 | -1.287 | 14.736 | -1.449 | 34.609 | -1.322 | 35.588 | -1.131 | 36.555 |
| -1.267 | 14.528 | -1.315 | 14.742 | -1.435 | 34.614 | -1.347 | 35.593 | -1.138 | 36.560 |
| -1.304 | 14.534 | -1.341 | 14.747 | -1.431 | 34.618 | -1.362 | 35.598 | -1.129 | 36.566 |
| -1.325 | 14.539 | -1.352 | 14.753 | -1.417 | 34.623 | -1.384 | 35.603 | -1.124 | 36.571 |
| -1.341 | 14.546 | -1.366 | 14.759 | -1.405 | 34.628 | -1.394 | 35.608 | -1.140 | 36.577 |
| -1.368 | 14.552 | -1.382 | 14.764 | -1.399 | 34.635 | -1.413 | 35.614 | -1.133 | 36.582 |
| -1.369 | 14.557 | -1.396 | 14.770 | -1.354 | 34.641 | -1.420 | 35.619 | -1.145 | 36.588 |
| -1.394 | 14.562 | -1.402 | 14.776 | -1.327 | 34.647 | -1.426 | 35.624 | -1.187 | 36.593 |
| -1.404 | 14.568 | -1.418 | 14.781 | -1.307 | 34.652 | -1.429 | 35.629 | -1.229 | 36.598 |
| -1.410 | 14.573 | -1.407 | 14.779 | -1.270 | 34.657 | -1.428 | 35.634 | -1.262 | 36.604 |
| -1.408 | 14.579 | -1.399 | 14.785 | -1.252 | 34.662 | -1.418 | 35.640 | -1.289 | 36.609 |
| -1.415 | 14.584 | -1.130 | 34.470 | -1.217 | 34.667 | -1.414 | 35.645 | -1.321 | 36.615 |
| -1.406 | 14.590 | -1.121 | 34.476 | -1.181 | 34.671 | -1.408 | 35.650 | -1.342 | 36.620 |
| -1.394 | 14.595 | -1.127 | 34.481 | -1.148 | 34.676 | -1.399 | 35.655 | -1.358 | 36.626 |
| -1.394 | 14.601 | -1.131 | 34.487 | -1.328 | 35.463 | -1.384 | 35.661 | -1.383 | 36.631 |
| -1.367 | 14.607 | -1.136 | 34.492 | -1.293 | 35.468 | -1.386 | 35.666 | -1.392 | 36.637 |
| -1.337 | 14.612 | -1.132 | 34.497 | -1.282 | 35.473 | -1.371 | 35.671 | -1.396 | 36.642 |
| -1.327 | 14.618 | -1.137 | 34.502 | -1.259 | 35.477 | -1.337 | 35.677 | -1.418 | 36.648 |
| -1.320 | 14.623 | -1.145 | 34.507 | -1.244 | 35.482 | -1.310 | 35.682 | -1.421 | 36.653 |
| -1.301 | 14.629 | -1.136 | 34.512 | -1.208 | 35.487 | -1.276 | 35.689 | -1.438 | 36.659 |
| -1.284 | 14.634 | -1.147 | 34.517 | -1.174 | 35.493 | -1.245 | 35.694 | -1.438 | 36.664 |
| -1.249 | 14.640 | -1.159 | 34.523 | -1.127 | 35.499 | -1.404 | 36.462 | -1.428 | 36.670 |
| -1.226 | 14.645 | -1.182 | 34.528 | -1.087 | 35.504 | -1.397 | 36.467 | -1.424 | 36.675 |
| -1.180 | 14.651 | -1.224 | 34.533 | -1.055 | 35.509 | -1.399 | 36.473 | -1.421 | 36.681 |
| -1.141 | 14.656 | -1.250 | 34.538 | -1.039 | 35.514 | -1.405 | 36.478 | -1.415 | 36.686 |
| -1.120 | 14.662 | -1.295 | 34.543 | -1.039 | 35.519 | -1.397 | 36.484 | -1.391 | 36.695 |
| -1.109 | 14.667 | -1.332 | 34.549 | -1.037 | 35.525 | -1.392 | 36.489 | -1.378 | 36.700 |
| -1.115 | 14.673 | -1.353 | 34.554 | -1.038 | 35.531 | -1.372 | 36.494 | -1.353 | 36.706 |
| -1.106 | 14.678 | -1.375 | 34.559 | -1.044 | 35.536 | -1.352 | 36.500 | -1.318 | 36.711 |
| -1.112 | 14.683 | -1.378 | 34.564 | -1.046 | 35.541 | -1.330 | 36.505 |  |  |
| -1.114 | 14.689 | -1.393 | 34.569 | -1.057 | 35.546 | -1.319 | 36.511 |  |  |
| -1.110 | 14.694 | -1.408 | 34.575 | -1.074 | 35.551 | -1.280 | 36.516 |  |  |

Table 2. Information on the stars used in this study.

| Star | Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. (2000) } \\ & h m s \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. (2000) } \\ \circ \end{gathered}$ | V | $J-K$ | $B-V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | V1695 Aql GSC 5149-2845 BD-03 4659 | 193822.3027 | -03 $3237.461^{1}$ | $10.92^{1}$ | 0.40 | $0.72 \pm 0.08^{1}$ |
| C | GSC 5149-2931 | 193823.9189 | -03 $3556.965^{1}$ | 11.04 | - | - |
| K (Check) | 3UC174-2249292 | 193822.5783 | $-03283.356^{3}$ | 12.25 | 0.30 | - |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{Hg}$, E., et al. 2000.
be steeper than that left as it is in Figure 12 of their paper, Molnar et al. 2017). So while the period is decreasing, it is not exponentially decaying at this time. If this phenomenon were present, it would lead to a rapid coalescence.

The extreme mass ratio binary has an inclination of $86^{\circ}$, which yields the rather long-duration total eclipse. The W UMa binary is of W-type (the less massive component is slightly hotter). This is unusual for deep contact binaries. Two cool spots were needed in the wD solution.

This initial study of V1695 Aql lays the groundwork for future work. More eclipse timings are needed to make a definitive study of its orbital evolution. We plan future followup observations. Of course, radial velocity curves should be obtained to determine its absolute physical character (masses in kg , radii in km , etc.).

Table 3. V1695 Aql period study.

|  | Epoch <br> $2400000+$ | Cycles | Linear <br> Residuals | Quadratic <br> Residuals | Reference |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | 51275.0350 | -15409.5 | -0.0366 | -0.0024 | Paschke 1994, 2002 |
| 2 | 52433.6990 | -12603.0 | 0.0210 | 0.0163 | Paschke 1994, 2002 |
| 3 | 52522.4400 | -12388.0 | 0.0036 | -0.0035 | Berhard et al. 2002 |
| 4 | 52522.4432 | -12388.0 | 0.0068 | -0.0003 | Pejcha 2005 |
| 5 | 52576.3098 | -12257.5 | -0.0008 | -0.0093 | Pejcha 2005 |
| 6 | 55405.0525 | -5405.5 | 0.0331 | -0.0014 | Kazuo O-C Gateway |
| 7 | 57614.6837 | -53.0 | -0.0064 | 0.0024 | This Paper |
| 8 | 57634.4925 | -5.0 | -0.0134 | -0.0039 | This Paper |
| 9 | 57636.5626 | 0.0 | -0.0074 | 0.0021 | This Paper |

Table 4. V1695 Aql light curve characteristics.

| Filter | Phase | Magnitude Max. I |  | Phase | Magnitude Max. II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.75 |  |
| B |  | $-1.408 \pm 0.019$ |  |  | $-1.406 \pm 0.010$ |
| V |  | $-1.408 \pm 0.016$ |  |  | $-1.431 \pm 0.005$ |
| R |  | $-1.386 \pm 0.015$ |  |  | $-1.401 \pm 0.007$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\text {c }}$ |  | $-1.406 \pm 0.017$ |  |  | $-1.432 \pm 0.005$ |
| Filter | Phase | Magnitude Min. II |  | Phase | Magnitude Min. I |
|  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.5 |  |
| B |  | $-0.993 \pm 0.002$ |  |  | $-1.077 \pm 0.014$ |
| V |  | $-1.040 \pm 0.004$ |  |  | $-1.108 \pm 0.013$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {c }}$ |  | $-0.993 \pm 0.004$ |  |  | $-1.078 \pm 0.015$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\text {c }}$ |  | $-1.040 \pm 0.003$ |  |  | $-1.108 \pm 0.013$ |
| Filter |  | Min. I-Max. I |  |  | Min. I- Min. II |
| B |  | $0.415 \pm 0.021$ |  |  | $0.084 \pm 0.016$ |
| V |  | $0.368 \pm 0.020$ |  |  | $0.068 \pm 0.016$ |
| R ${ }_{\text {c }}$ |  | $0.393 \pm 0.019$ |  |  | $0.085 \pm 0.018$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |  | $0.366 \pm 0.020$ |  |  | $0.068 \pm 0.016$ |
| Filter | Max. I- Max. II |  | Filter |  | Min. II - Max. I |
| B | $-0.002 \pm 0.030$ |  | B |  | $0.331 \pm 0.033$ |
| V | $0.023 \pm 0.021$ |  | V |  | $0.300 \pm 0.028$ |
| R ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | $0.015 \pm 0.022$ |  | R ${ }_{\text {c }}$ |  | $0.308 \pm 0.030$ |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $0.026 \pm 0.022$ |  | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {c }}$ |  | $0.298 \pm 0.029$ |



Figure 6. Each night's observations in B and V are plotted to show night to night variations in observations. Blue $=$ night $1, G r e e n=$ night $2, \operatorname{Red}=$ night 3 , Pink $=$ night 4.


Figure 7a. V1695 Aql B, V normalized fluxes overlaid by our solution of V1695 Aql.


Figure 7b. V1695 Aql $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$ normalized fluxes overlaid by our solution of V1695 Aql.


Figure 8a. V1695 Aql, geometrical representation at phase 0.00 .


Figure 8c. V1695 Aql, geometrical representation at phase 0.50 .


Figure 8b. V1695 Aql, geometrical representation at phase 0.25 .


Figure 8d. V1695 Aql, geometrical representation at phase 0.75 .

Table 5. Synthetic curve solution for V1695 Aql. Terms with errors are iterated values.

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{\mathrm{B}}, \lambda_{\mathrm{V}}, \lambda_{\mathrm{Rc}}, \lambda_{\mathrm{Ic}}(\mathrm{nm})$ | 440, 550, 640, 790 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\text {boll }, 2}, \mathrm{y}_{\text {boll }, 2}$ | 0.649, 0.649, 0.193, 0.193 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{lc}, 2 \mathrm{lc}} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{y}_{1 \mathrm{lc}, 2 \mathrm{lc}}$ | $0.623,0.623,0.230,0.230$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{Rc}, 2 \mathrm{Rc}}, \mathrm{y}_{1 \mathrm{Rc}, 2 \mathrm{Rc}}$ | $0.708,0.708,0.229,0.229$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{lV}, 2 \mathrm{~V}}, \mathrm{y}_{1 \mathrm{l}, 2 \mathrm{~V}}$ | $0.778,0.778,0.108,0.108$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{~B}, 2 \mathrm{~B}}, \mathrm{y}_{1 \mathrm{~B}, 2 \mathrm{~B}}$ | $0.847,0.847-0.018,-0.018$ |
| $\mathrm{g}_{1}, \mathrm{~g}_{2}$ | 0.32 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 0.5 |
| Inclination ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $85.6 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}(\mathrm{~K})$ | $5500,5649 \pm 3$ |
| $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ | $2.049 \pm 0.001$ |
| $\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{m}_{2} / \mathrm{m}_{1}\right)$ | $0.1622 \pm 0.0002$ |
| Fill-outs: $\mathrm{F}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $83 \% \pm 1 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{Ic}}$ | $0.805 \pm 0.001$ |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{Rc}}$ | $0.803 \pm 0.002$ |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}$ | $0.800 \pm 0.001$ |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $0.792 \pm 0.001$ |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}$ (pole) | $0.525 \pm 0.002,0.246 \pm 0.003$ |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}$ (side) | $0.586 \pm 0.003,0.260 \pm 0.004$ |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}$ (back) | $0.613 \pm 0.003,0.340 \pm 0.019$ |
| Spot 1 | Star 1 |
| Colatitude | $125 \pm 1$ |
| Longitude | $80.6 \pm 0.4$ |
| Spot radius | $29.5 \pm 0.1$ |
| T-Factor | $0.812 \pm 0.003$ |
| Spot 2 | Star 1 |
| Colatitude | $102.2 \pm 0.4$ |
| Longitude | $275.4 \pm 0.3$ |
| Spot radius | $23.9 \pm 0.01$ |
| T-Factor | $0.803 \pm 0.003$ |
| Pshift | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{JD}_{0}$ (days) | $2457634.7038 \pm 0.0003$ |
| Period (days) | $0.412755 \pm 0.000006$ |
| $\Sigma(\mathrm{res})^{2}$ | 0.1468 |

Table 6. Unspotted synthetic curve solution for V1695 Aql. Terms with errors are iterated values. The values not listed are identical as those in Table 4.

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Inclination $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $87.1 \pm 0.5$ |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}(\mathrm{~K})$ | $5500,5252 \pm 4$ |
| $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ | $2.114 \pm 0.002$ |
| $\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{m}_{2} / \mathrm{m}_{1}\right)$ | $0.1684 \pm 0.0004$ |
| Fill -outs: $\mathrm{F}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $34.8 \pm 0.2 \%$, |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{I}}$ | $0.849 \pm 0.010$ |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{1} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{R}}$ | $0.852 \pm 0.015$ |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{1} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{V}}$ | $0.856 \pm 0.010$ |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{1} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $0.866 \pm 0.011$ |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}($ pole $)$ | $0.509 \pm 0.002,0.232 \pm 0.003$ |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}($ side $)$ | $0.561 \pm 0.003,0.243 \pm 0.003$ |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}$ (back) | $0.585 \pm 0.004,0.287 \pm 0.008$ |
| $\Sigma(\text { res })^{2}$ | 0.1932 |

Table 7. Comparison of KIC 9832227 to V1685 Aql.

| Star | $q$ | $T_{1}$ | $T_{2}$ | $P$ | $\dot{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KIC 9832227 | 0.227957 | 5800 K | 5920 K | 0.4579615 d | $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| V1685 Aql | 0.1622 | 5500 K | 5649 K | 0.4128296 d | $1.7 \times 10^{-6}$ |
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